

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/078,981	02/20/2002	Steven P. Bermes	UP-273	6527
7.	590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER	
George Pappa Pappas Law Of		CHOI, STEPHEN		
Suite 300	11100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
919 S. Harrison		3724	,	
Fort Wayne, II	N 46802		DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	3 5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_							
}		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Office Action Summary		10/078	,981	BERMES, STEVEN	1 P.			
		Examir	ner	Art Unit				
		Stepher	n Choi	3724 ,				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	iress			
Period fo			TO EVOIDE 2 A					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the s atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a statutory minimum of thi d will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this cor  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>24 Septembe</u>	<u>r 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-18 and 22-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) <u>19-21 and 27-33</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the			•				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 20 February				ier.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
	•							
	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.	Note the attache	d Office Action of form P 1	0-132.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			0.440( ) ( )) (0)				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the since a specific reference was included TOFR 1.78.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the first services.	documents have be documents have be of the priority document Bureau (PCT For for a list of the cofor domestic priority ed in the first senter inguage provisional for domestic priority	peen received. peen received in a seen received in	Application No  In received in this National state received.  It is \$\frac{1}{2}\$ 119(e) (to a provisional cation or in an Application been received.  It is \$\frac{1}{2}\$ 120 and/or 121 since it	application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s	s)			
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (P10-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			Informal Patent Application (PTO				

Application/Control Number: 10/078,981

Art Unit: 3724

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, Species A in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. It is noted that applicant's reply did not include a listing of claims readable on the elected species. The examiner has determined that claims 19-21 and 27-33 are readable on the elected species.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 27, "the cutting edge" lacks positive antecedent basis.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 19 and 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogletree (US 2,109,303).

Regarding claims 19 and 27, Ogletree discloses all the recited elements of the invention including:

Application/Control Number: 10/078,981

Art Unit: 3724

- a) a tool body (5);
- b) a cavity extending into the tool body (Figure 3);
- c) a cutting blade (9);
- d) a pair of opposing walls (a,c) in the cavity located generally parallel with the cutting blade wherein the opposing walls are equidistant from the cutting blade.

Regarding claims 28-33, Ogletree discloses all the recited elements of the invention including:

- e) a pair of walls (a,c);
- f) a cutting edge (10) located between and parallel with the walls;
- g) the walls (a,c) are equidistant from the cutting edge and located in planes parallel with one another (Figure 4);
- h) a pair of opposing retaining walls (b,d).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogletree (US 2,109,303).

Ogletree discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the tool body made of plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/078,981 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

time the invention was made to form the body made of plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jones, Smith, and Evens et al. are cited to show related devices.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Choi whose telephone number is 703-306-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 9am and 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

SC

November 14, 2003

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER